

Dear all,

According to opinion of Section 64, the new statutes is discriminatory in relation to the draughts-64. It suggests for Section 64 only the role of associate member without voting rights. That does not reflect the real situation with the development of draughts-64 in the world.

I recall that in 2011 players from 29 countries participated in the events of Section-64. In many countries, developing draughts-64, there is state support for our sport, there are children's and youth schools, staffed by professional trainers, regularly hosts national championships in both adults and children, available equipment and textbooks, that is, the sport is developing professionally.

Draughts-64 in these countries are basic and only thanks to the mass and the large potential of draughts-64 can fully develop and draughts 100. Thus, draughts-64 make a great positive contribution to the development of draughts as a sport in the world.

The current Statutes provides for equality FMJD all kinds of draughts, while in reality discrimination another draughts than the draughts-100 has always existed, especially it has increased due to the start of the World Mind Sport games.

However, the current Statutes has a long history, democratic principles, more consistent with the spirit of global sports organization.

In fact, current Statutes requires not global changes, but only small improvements in connection with the changed situation.

The new Statutes offers the totalitarian principle of FMJD management without taking into account the views and needs of the members FMJD.

Violated the principles and meaning of social organization.

The Executive Committee of the Section-64 studied the new FMJD Statutes and suggested several proposal that are directly related to draughts-64.

I send you proposal of the Section-64 on new FMJD statute.

Best regards,

Vladimir Vangin